

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2016) KLR VOL 3 PART 384 pp. 2221 - 2384

MARCH 2016

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

MARCH CONTD.

7. Abiodun v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 2229
8. Abokokuyanro v. State p. 2245
9. Adewunmi v. State p. 2273
10. Ayeni v. State p. 2291
11. John v. State p. 2313

COURT OF APPEAL

1. Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA
2. Oyegbesan v. Oyegbesan p. 2371 CA

2222 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 3 KLR

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Appellate court will not ordinarily interfere with findings made by trial court on credibility of witnesses - Unless it is shown that such findings are perverse (H1) Ayeni v. State p. 2291

APPEALS - Hearing - Condition - Appeal can only be heard on valid notice of appeal - Hence hearing of the appeal by CA on an abandoned notice - Amounted to a void act (H2) Abiodun v. FRN p. 2229

APPEALS - Notice of - Filing - Notice of appeal is an initiating process - Which must be properly filed before there is a valid appeal - As an appeal is incompetent where notice is defective (H1) Abiodun v. FRN p. 2229

ARMED ROBBERY - Alibi - Defence - The defence is not available to appellant - As respondent adduced sufficient evidence to fix appellant at the robbery scene at the material time (H4) Adewunmi v. State p. 2273

ARMED ROBBERY - Conspiracy - Proof - Exhibit B constitutes ingredient of conspiracy - As it expresses common intention of appellant and others - To commit armed robbery (H1) John v. State p. 2313

ARMED ROBBERY - Conviction - Confession - Besides appellant's confession - His conviction is a cumulative result of the confession - And evidence of the victims of the crime (H3) John v. State p. 2313

ARMED ROBBERY - Conviction - Death sentence - Having found that prosecution proved its case against appellant - The sentence of death is the only option for the trial court (H4) John v. State p. 2313

ARMED ROBBERY - Ingredients - Proof - Prosecution is bound to prove that there was robbery - That appellant was armed at the time of the robbery - And that appellant participated in the crime (H2) John v. State p. 2313

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 3 KLR 2223

ARMED ROBBERY - Ingredients - Proof - Prosecution must prove that there was a robbery - That the robbery was armed robbery - And that accused person was one of the robbers (H2) Adewunmi v. State p. 2273

CHARGES - Interpretation - Arraignment of appellant was properly done - As the charge was explained to him and he understood same - Since neither he nor his counsel raised a complaint (H1) Adewunmi v. State p. 2273

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Circumstantial evidence - For such evidence to ground a conviction - It must be positive and point to the guilt of the accused person (H1) Abokokuyanro v. State p. 2245

EVIDENCE - Contradiction - Effect - It is not every inconsistency in prosecution's case - That warrants a reversal of the decision of court - As such inconsistency must be material (H4) Abokokuyanro v. State p. 2245

EVIDENCE - Evaluation - Crime - Where there is uncontradicted evidence - Trial court still has a duty to evaluate it - And be satisfied that it is credible and sufficient to sustain a claim (H2) Ayeni v. State p. 2291

EVIDENCE - Single witness - Weight - Evidence of single witness when found credible is sufficient without more - To secure conviction of the accused (H3) Abokokuyanro v. State p. 2245

IDENTIFICATION PARADE - Conduct of - It is not in every case that identification parade is necessary - As once there is direct evidence - Accused should call evidence to prove his alibi (H3) Adewunmi v. State p. 2273

MURDER - Proof - From totality of the evidence and the fact that appellant was fixed at the crime scene - It can be concluded that he murdered or was one of the murderers of the deceased (H2) Abokokuyanro v. State p. 2245

SUPREME COURT - Appeals - Hearing - Power - The Court cannot review appeal that was heard on abandoned notice - As its power under SC Act s. 22 cannot override Constitution 1999 s. 233 (H3) Abiodun v. FRN p. 2229

COURT OF APPEAL

APPEALS - Election - Qualification - As there is no evidence of conduct of the primary away from INEC - And as 1st respondent was not disqualified by FHC - He cannot be stopped from contesting the gubernatorial election (H16) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

APPEALS - Filing - Necessity of - Appeal arises where appellant who is dissatisfied with decision of lower court - Files notice of appeal stating inter alia the grounds of appeal (H1) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

APPEALS - Fresh issue - Raising of - Although such is not allowed on appeal - But where subject to demand of justice - And there is serious question of law - Court may by discretion entertain the issue (H9) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

APPEALS - Ground - Error of law & misdirection - Jointly framed - SC stated in Aderounmu's case that such framing does not invalidate a ground - Provided the complaint in each particular is clearly shown (H6) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

APPEALS - Ground - Incorporation of particulars - Where ground has furnished the particulars needed - There will be no need for separate paragraph - Stating particulars of error alleged (H7) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

APPEALS - Ground - Particular - Sustainability - Particular (i) is in harmony with ground 3 and capable of sustaining it - As it shows in what respect the Tribunal committed wrong (H4) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 3 KLR CA 2225

APPEALS - Ground - Purpose - Ground is the error of law or fact alleged by appellant - Which attacks basis of reasoning of the decision challenged (H2) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

APPEALS - Ground - Vagueness - Ground notifies the other side of the case to meet on appeal - Hence no ground which is vague - Or incapable of conveying any specific complaint is permitted (H3) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

APPEALS - Ground 4 - Validity - The ground did not challenge the Tribunals rejection of Exhibits D & L - Thus objection that it relates to pre election matters is unsustainable (H5) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

APPEALS - Grounds 1 to 7 - Issue - Competence - Appellant's complaint on the grounds and issue 1 are not new - Because issue of discontinuance of suit no. FHC/ABJ/CS/3/2012 - Was borne out in record of the Tribunal (H8) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

APPEALS - Issues - Binding nature - The parties did not join issue on discontinuance of motion and suit no. FHC/ABJ/CS/3/2012 - Hence they are forbidden to go outside issues joined between them (H10) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

COURTS - Evidence - Inadmissible evidence - Where court finds that certain documents were admitted in evidence in error - It has power to expunge such from its records (H15) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

DOCUMENTS - Public document - Certification - Under Evidence Act s. 102(a)(ii) - Request must be made to use Exhibit L in litigation - But where the request is refused - Resort can be had to computer print out under Evidence Act s. 97 (H14) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

ELECTION PETITIONS - Appeal - Abandoned ground - Effect - Exhibit D that was produced in support of abandoned ground 2 is

2226 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 3 KLR CA

irrelevant - As such the tribunal rightly struck them out (H13) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

ELECTION PETITIONS - Evidence - Electronic document - Admissibility - Party who seeks to tender such document - Must call evidence in relation to use of the computer - To establish conditions under Evidence Act s. 84(2) (H12) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

ELECTION PETITIONS - Tribunal - Order - Exhibit N directed at 3rd respondent was executory order - And it remains extant as no appeal was filed by the respondent (H11) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

ELECTIONS - Candidates - Publication of names - Power - Is in the domestic domain of INEC - And no one should be punished if the names have been properly forwarded to INEC (H18) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

ELECTIONS - Qualification - Constitution - Supremacy of - Provision of Electoral Act that runs contrary to the Constitution - Is null and void to the extent of its inconsistency (H17) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Basis - Evidence Act governs the admissibility of evidence in court - And once a piece of evidence is relevant it is admissible - Irrespective of how it was obtained (H2) Oyegbesan v. Oyegbesan p. 2371 CA

LANDLORD & TENANT - Tenancy at will - Notice to quit - By Rent Control Law s. 14(1)(a) - Appellant is only entitled to 7 days notice - And it does not matter which format the notice takes (H1) Oyegbesan v. Oyegbesan p. 2371 CA

PROPERTY LAW - Notice to quit - Evidence of - Failure of respondent to tender Exhibit P16 is of no moment - As appellant never denied that notice was given (H3) Oyegbesan v. Oyegbesan p. 2371 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 36(5)
Abokokuyanro v. State p. 2245, ss. 233, 240 Abiodun v. FRN p.
2229

Counterfeit & Fake Drugs and Unwholesome Processed Foods (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act Cap C. 34 LFN 2004, ss. 1(18), 3 Abiodun v. FRN p. 2229

Criminal Code Law Cap. 30 vol. 11 Laws of Ondo State of Nigeria 1978, s. 390(a) Ayeni v. State p. 2291

Criminal Procedure Law of Ondo State, s. 215 Adewunmi v. State p. 2273

Evidence Act 2011, ss. 28(1), 135 (1) John v. State p. , ss. 84(2), 97, s. 135 Abokokuyanro v. State p. 2245, s. 138(1) Ayeni v. State p. 2291

Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap 398 vol. xxii LFN 1990, ss. s. 1(2)(b) Adewunmi v. State p. 2273, ss. 1(2)(a), 5(b) John v. State p. 2313

Supreme Court Act, s. 22 Abiodun v. FRN p. 2229

COURT OF APPEAL

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, ss. 177, 182
Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

Court of Appeal Rules 2011, O. 6 r. 2(2)(3) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

Electoral Act 2006, ss. 85(1), 87(9) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

2228 INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2016) 3 KLR CA

Electoral Act 2010 (as Amended), ss. 34, 87(10) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

Evidence Act 2011, 102, 104(1) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA, s. 6 Oyegbesan v. Oyegbesan p. 2371 CA

Federal High Court Civil Procedure Rules 2009, O. 26 rr. 7(3), 11, 12(1)(2) Kubor v. Dickson p. 2329 CA

Rent Control & Recovery of Residential Premises Law of Lagos State Cap R6 Laws of Lagos State 2003, s. 14(1) Oyegbesan v. Oyegbesan p. 2371 CA